Salivary alpha amylase activity in pregnant and non-pregnant females
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Abstract

Introduction: Pregnancy demands various physiological changes to be adapted by the body for growing fetus. Stress of pregnancy gives rise to altered hormonal release from hypothalamic-pituitary adrenal (HPA) and sympatho-adrenal-medullary (SAM) axis. Present study aimed to estimate salivary amylase activity in pregnant and non-pregnant females.

Materials and Methods: In this cross sectional study we have selected 65 pregnant females and compared with 22 non-pregnant females. Pregnant females were grouped into three according to trimesters. Non stimulated saliva sample was collected from study participants. Salivary amylase activity was measured by coupled enzymatic assay.

Results: Significant increase in salivary amylase in pregnant as compared to non-pregnant females was observed (p=0.019). There was significant difference in salivary amylase between groups of pregnant females (p<0.001). There was no statistically significant relation between salivary amylase and number of pregnancies (p=0.08).

Conclusion: Increased salivary amylase in pregnancy can be due to increased physical and physiological stress in pregnancy. Salivary amylase was studied as a marker of stress. Stress leads to increased sympathetic activity which in turn leads to increased salivary amylase. Saliva collection is non-invasive technique, easy to perform, less skillful and can be done repeatedly so it can be easily used for monitoring increased sympathetic activity.
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Introduction

Pregnancy requires various physiological changes for the growth of fetus. It alters human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG), progesterone and estrogen hormone levels in body. These alterations are responsible for the cardiovascular, genitourinary, respiratory, hematological and endocrine changes during pregnancy. Pregnancy also leads to altered hormone release from hypothalamic pituitary adrenal (HPA) and sympatho-adrenal-medullary (SAM) axis. Alfa amylase is most abundant protein present in saliva. It is secreted from acinar cells of salivary glands by activation of beta 1 adrenoreceptors. It is calcium containing metalloenzyme. It hydrolyzes starch by breaking alpha 1-4 glycosidic linkage, into maltose, maltotriose and larger oligosaccharides. Salivary alpha amylase (sAA) production is independent of saliva flow rate. Parotid, submandibular and sublingual are major salivary glands which are innervated by sympathetic and parasympathetic nerves. Stimulation of these glands leads to production of salivary proteins. So, salivary alpha amylase can be the good candidate substance for measuring the autonomic activity. Human and animal studies showed marked elevation of sAA activity due to different physical and psychological stress. As pregnancy is a stressful condition in female’s life, present study aimed to observe sAA activity in pregnant females and compare with non-pregnant. We also aimed to study sAA activity in different trimester of pregnancy to observe trend of sAA in pregnancy.

Saliva as a diagnostic fluid, has gained popularity over past few decades. Salivary biochemical parameters like cortisol have been used to assess HPA axis. Collection of saliva is non-invasive and painless procedure. It is also easy and economical. Various biochemical parameters like glucose, urea, creatinine and cholesterol measurement in saliva are under study. In future saliva can be used as a diagnostic fluid for different disease diagnosis and monitoring.

Materials and Methods

This was a cross-sectional study, approved by Institutional Ethical committee. Study participants were pregnant females attending antenatal outpatient department at our Institute. In this study pregnancy was confirmed by positive urine pregnancy test or ultrasonography report showing the live intrauterine pregnancy. Pregnant females having the history of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, psychiatric illness, dental problem and any other chronic disease were excluded from study. Females in follicular phase of menstrual cycle considered as non-pregnant group. These females were non-diabetic, non-hypertensive, not using oral contraceptives and not having any psychiatric illness. Informed written consent was obtained from all study participants.

Pregnant females (n=65) of different trimesters were compared with non-pregnant females (n=22). Pregnant were grouped into three according to trimester of pregnancy. Group I had 25 females of first trimester, group II had 19 females of second trimester and group III had 21 females of third trimester. Non-pregnant females were in follicular phase of menstrual cycle. Study participants were instructed...
before sample collection regarding, not to eat one hour before sample collection and rinse mouth before saliva collection. Non stimulated whole saliva sample was collected by spitting method. Saliva was stored at - 4°C. Saliva was diluted 1:250 times before analysis. Salivary amylase was estimated by using ethylidene-G7-PNP as a substrate. Amylase activity is directly proportional to amount of paranitrophenol liberated, which was measured at 410 nm.\textsuperscript{10} Sample size was calculated using open Epi software. Mean and standard deviation (SD) of sAA activity in pregnant and non-pregnant were used; with 0.05% alpha error and 80% power.\textsuperscript{11} Statistical analysis was done in graph pad prism software and Microsoft excel. P value <0.05 was considered as significant. All values were expressed as mean ± SD. Salivary amylase levels of pregnant and non-pregnant women were compared by student t test. The sAA activities in between the groups were compared by one way ANOVA.

\section*{Results}

Table 1 shows baseline characteristics of all study participants. Mean age among the pregnant females (n=65) was 25.67±2.87 years and 28.9±5 years among non-pregnant females (n=22). There was no statistically significant difference between systolic and diastolic blood pressure. The mean hemoglobin concentration among pregnant 11.7 ± 1.3 gm/dl was more than the non-pregnant 10.9 ± 2.1 gm/dl females, which was statistically significant (p= 0.01)

There was significant increase in level of SAA activity in pregnant females 108.14x10^3 ± 71.74 x10^3 IU/L as compared to non- pregnant 70.6 x 10^3 ± 30.68 x10^3 IU/L, (p = 0.019). We have observed difference in sAA activity in pregnant females of different trimester. First trimester had sAA activity 47.01 x10^3 ± 15.5 x10^3 IU/L, second trimester had sAA activity 98.4 x10^3 ± 38.3 x10^3 IU/L, third trimester had sAA activity 189.7 x10^3 ± 55.9 x10^3 IU/L. Table 2 shows sAA activity in different trimesters. Third trimester women had statistically significant increase in sAA activity as compare to first and second trimester women, (p<0.001. Fig. 1 is comparison of sAA activity in pregnant and non-pregnant women. The sAA activity in different trimester is shown in Fig. 2 which shows increased salivary amylase in III group as compare to II and I group.

Within 65 pregnant women 28 were primigravida, 17 were pregnant for 2\textsuperscript{nd} time, 15 were pregnant for 3\textsuperscript{rd} time, 4 were pregnant for 4\textsuperscript{th} time and single women pregnant for 5\textsuperscript{th} time. There was no significant difference in the sAA levels and number of pregnancies (p=0.08) which was depicted in Table 3.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Baseline characteristics of study participants}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Parameter & Non pregnant Mean ± SD & Pregnant Mean ± SD & P value \\
\hline
Age (years) & 28.9 ± 5 & 25.67± 2.87 & 0.03 \\
\hline
Blood pressure systolic (mmHg) & 114.9 ± 10.4 & 115.9 ± 13.3 & 0.74 \\
\hline
Blood pressure diastolic (mmHg) & 71 ± 14.9 & 74.5 ± 8.6 & 0.18 \\
\hline
Pulse rate (rate/min) & 71.9 ± 4.3 & 112.2± 10 & 0.01 \\
\hline
Hemoglobin (gms/dl) & 10.9 ± 2.1 & 11.7 ± 1.3 & 0.01 \\
\hline
Salivary amylase x10\(^3\) (IU/L) & 70.6 ± 30.6 & 108.1 ± 71.7 & 0.019 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Salivary amylase activity in females of different trimesters}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Parameter & Group I & Group II & Group III & P value \\
\hline
Salivary amylase x10\(^3\) (IU/L) & 47.01(15.5 ) & 98.4(38.3) & 189.7(55.9) & <0.001 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Salivary amylase activity in females and number of times pregnancy}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Parameter & 1\textsuperscript{st} (28) & 2\textsuperscript{nd} (17) & 3\textsuperscript{rd} (15) & 4\textsuperscript{th} (4) & 5\textsuperscript{th} (1) & P value \\
\hline
Salivary amylase x10\(^3\) (IU/L) & 85.5(55.2) & 140.4(76.6) & 111.8(83.1) & 100.1(49.0) & 168.0 & 0.08 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Fig. 1: Mean salivary amylase in pregnant and non–pregnant
Primary aim of our study was to measure and compare the salivary amylase activity in pregnant and non-pregnant females. We observed statistically significant increase in sAA activity in pregnant women as compared to non-pregnant. We also observed sAA activity vary according to trimester of pregnancy. Third trimester of pregnancy has more increase in sAA activity as compare to second and first trimester. As per our knowledge present study is the first to show the changes in sAA activity and number of pregnancies and there was no significant difference in sAA activity and number of pregnancies.

Major salivary gland parotid is innervated by glossohyparyngeal nerve and submandibular by facial nerve. parasympathetic nerve supply is from thoracic segment of spinal cord. Response to stress is determined by hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis and sympathetic adreno medullary (SAM) nervous system. HPA leads to the production of hormones which finally leads to release of cortisol from adrenal gland. Measurement of cortisol in serum or saliva indicates HPA axis. Sympathetic activation leads to increased heart rate, blood pressure, dilatation of pupil etc. Salivary alpha amylase can be indicator of sympathetic activity as salivary glands are innervated by sympathetic nervous system.

The sAA present in highest concentration in saliva as it is produced locally. Salivary cortisol is secreted into saliva. Measuring sAA is easy and cheap as compare to salivary cortisol. Serum and salivary cortisol is studied in detail as a marker of different physical and psychological stress.

Salivary cortisol and salivary amylase had shown significant positive correlation in stressful conditions. So, measurement of sAA may have advantage over salivary cortisol.

The relation between sAA and pregnancy is controversial. Salvolini E et al and Rio R et al. showed increase in sAA activity during the pregnancy. Cijeak M showed no relation between sAA activity and pregnant women of different gestational age. Giesbrecht F observed no relation between gestational age and maternal demographics with diurnal sAA. Laine M et al. observed no change in salivary amylase in pregnant and non-pregnant women. Studies where sAA activity studied with psychiatric conditions in pregnancy showed increased sAA activity in late pregnancy depression as compare to normal. Changes in the sympathetic nervous system causes vasoconstriction, which leads to decreased blood flow to placenta, affects the fetal outcome in pregnant females.

Present study participants were not having mental stress. They were not on any antipsychotic medication or behavioral therapy. The sAA which was increased, can be due to increased sympathetic activity in pregnancy. There is no physiological reference range for salivary amylase for different age and sex groups, also special conditions like pregnancy.

Salivary amylase which is being used as a marker of stress in different psychological and physical stressful condition but to apply it for pregnant population requires special consideration. As pregnancy is stressful condition, it leads to increase in salivary amylase. As stress in pregnancy is not consistent salivary amylase increases as pregnancy increases. Pregnancy with other psychological stress will lead to more increase in salivary amylase. So prediction of increased salivary amylase in pregnant population is difficult.

In present study we have not observed statistically significant difference in sAA and number of pregnancies of female. The physiological change of pregnancy which leads to stress is almost same in each pregnancy can be the reason for that. In study pregnant females were not having bad obstetrics history. Out of 65 pregnant 28 were primigravida. Effect of bad obstetrics history and salivary amylase can be studied in future.

In present study we have not assessed salivary flow rate, as it does not interfere with sAA activity. Small sample size, stress was not assessed with existing stress scale are limitations of study. Further study with large sample size and continuous monitoring of salivary alpha amylase throughout pregnancy will provide clear idea.

**Conclusion**

Salivary amylase is increased in pregnancy. Levels vary in different trimester of pregnancy. There is no difference in salivary amylase and number of pregnancy. Salivary glands, which are innervated by sympathetic nerves, on stimulation increases amylase. Collection of saliva is non-invasive technique, easy to perform, less skillful and can be done repeatedly so it can be easily used for monitoring increased sympathetic activity.
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